1-29-79 page 11

in seminary, but while I was teaching I did not go to any of them. But Griffiths and Machen and some of those others were at all of the Assemblies. I remember one time when there was a meeting of the Presbytery and they used to go in a rotation. Dr. Barnhouse moved the nomination of one of the leaders from one of the modernist churches for a representative at the Assembly that year with the idea that the next year which would be the important year when his situation would come up, and that would get this man out of the way so he would not be on it next year. Some of our folks thought Dr. Barnhouse was apt to go into some of this minor trying to twist things, and they did not approve of much and they looked at that as one case. He nominated nominated this man and he went to the Assembly and then after the Assembly he was elected to the permanent judicial commission which meant that the next year he was on the body that had the immediate say on Dr. Barhhouse's problem.

This was brought up by Dr. Machen and Dr. Griffiths and these others, this attack on the Foreign Board for its modernism. That was a problem which came in direct relation to the seminary because we had young men wanting to go out under the Board. We came in contact with that when I was still in Princeton that a man from Princeton in those days who studied under Machen would go before the Board and he'd be questioned very carefully because they did not want him to make trouble on the Mission. They would look at him with great question, while somebody from a modernist seminary might just go w right through! I remember fin Christian ministers that we'd talk to about it, and they would say, Oh but Rob.t E. Speer is head of the Board and as long as Robt. E. Speer is there, we have confidence in him and trust.