Interview (9)

ence was a far greater emphasis on methods of interpreting the Word of God, which I feel to be the essential need of the present day. I believe that God has placed in the Bible all the guidance that His church needs, and that the naswer to every new question is to be found, not in human wisdom nor in consideration of ideas advanced by great men in church history, but by careful examination of the many parts of the Scripture in order to find the teachings that God has placed there to meet the needs of His church in all ages. Thus we placed a tremendous emphasis on training in exegesis, farmore then was done in Princeton or in Westminster. A great part of our desire was not merely to teach students what we thought they ought to think, though they did learn that, but to teach them how to think for themselves and how to reach their own conclusions about God's will for them.

For nearly 34 years I had almost complete control of the teaching and administration of Faith Seminary and was able to direct the school along the lines that I believed to be vital. God greatly blessed our efforts. He enabled us to train many fine Christian leaders, among whom Dr. Timothy Tow and Dr. Swee Hwa Quek were outstanding.

During my last two years at Faith a new development, unrecognized at first, threatened to destroy all that we had built up. A man who was already trained as a lawyer had come to the seminary as a student after telling some of his friends that he intended to produce important changes in the school. Working quietly at first, he gained considerable influence among other students. After a time most of these began to see the error of his views and turned against him, so that by the end of his third year comparatively few of his fellow students stood with him, though these few were very outspoken. Eventually he began to write down statements professors made in class and to quote these statements out of context to the president of the Board of Trustees, in order to convince him that we were undermining his position. This was a misrepresentation, for we stood with him on all doctrinal