

In the last year that I was there there was strong criticism against John Murray and Murray in some ways exemplified all the things that were characteristic of this movement in the seminary. This never interfered with my friendship with him, but we never discussed those particular matters to any great extent, and we were very good friends and had much time together. However, this attack was made on Murray, and Dr. Machen was a man who had great loyalty to his friends, and he swung in ~~xxxx~~ strongly in support of John Murray, and now, from having been a subject of dislike to the bulk of the students he became a great hero in their eyes. These faculty members with whom Dr. Machen had been having only comparatively little contact through previous years seemed to him under attack and he was ready to stand solidly with them when members of his team were thus under attack. My feeling was, if I had been the one under attack he would have taken the same attitude toward me. At any rate, when Dr. Machen died in the middle of the year everything was completely in their hands and I felt more and more out of everything, and this naturally led eventually to a ~~xxx~~ situation where I either had to ~~xx~~ resign or become an object of ^{obloquy} ~~shaming~~ to all my ~~associates~~ associates, with no hope of being remedied from it the way Dr. Machen had been. The actual event occurred in connection with premillennialism. Dr. Allis had resigned in the spring of 1936. That fall I had all the OT^o courses to teach, with Joe Young as my assistant to teach the Beginning Hebrew. The course in the OT^o prophetic books, as it was then given, consisted of five ~~xxxx~~ hours a week through the first semester. In that course I gave sound methods of interpreting prophecy and naturally the result was recognition of the fact of ^b that the OT^o clearly teaches premillennialism. I believe that all the senior, ^{believed (?)} after finishing that course, would have declared that that was what they believed. However, the next semester I did not have these men in any course. Instead they had Dr. Stonehouse in a NT^o course and ~~in~~ John Murray in a theology course, and in both of these courses premillennialism was very ^g strongly attacked. Individual students would come to me and tell me what had been said in these courses. The Each of them was very much opposed to premillennialism, but it seemed to me that the reasons