

Baltimore three or four times and talked with her. He was so upset.

Ned Stonehouse wrote a life of Dr. Machen and though he shows his prejudices rather strongly in some of his statements he gives the essential facts quite clearly that Dr. Laird was elected president of the board instead of Dr. Machen and Dr. Buswell and Dr. McIntire were influential in this vote. He does not mention Mr. Bennet who was more influential in it than either of them. Now we find that someone who is irritated at Dr. McIntire today over some recent developments saying in a letter criticizing Dr. McIntire [and also the prevailing members of the present board I believe] and saying that he had ousted Dr. Machen from the IB° [say that he had been dropped from the Board as Dr. Machen had been dropped back in 1935(?) or whenever it was] I don't know whether he got this idea from Stonehouse's book or from Paul Woolley's little booklet published in 1977 called The Significance of J. Gresham Machen Today. He recounts the sequence of events much as Mr. Stonehouse does, shows his strong bias in what he says, ~~but~~ pp. 42-43 of the booklet, On p. 43 he says, "In the election Machen for the first time in his life, voted for himself as a matter of principle. The issue was not primarily between men but between theological principles. He could do no less for his convictions." His next paragraph begins with the words, "Weighed down by ~~these~~ these disappointments Machen succumbed to an infection that ended in pneumonia." [This infection came at least two or three months after the Independent Board election] It is interesting that in his discussion Woolley makes ~~a~~ puts the whole blame for this on Buswell; briefly makes the statement "Buswell was supported, and probably really incited, in the matter by the Rev. Carl McIntire." Neither he nor Stonehouse says anything about Bennet who was the real mover in the situation. Woolley == Neither does Woolley say anything about premillennialism [actually Woolley always claimed to be a premillennialist although he rarely gave evidence of it in his talks or in his teaching.] Woolley bases the opposition of Buswell's stand on the matter He says, "What Buswell seemed to have as his most immediate concern was an emphasis on total ~~abstention~~ abstinence from alcoholic beverages. This was obviously not a