

so much to do that he didn't have time to think things through properly, not did he have time to waste, as he might consider it, in winning people to his views. He would simply say what he wanted and it was up to people to do it. ~~When~~ In 1968, when I went with him to Pakistan, I said, " Carl, I wish you would make a list of all the things that you're mixed up in, and would go over the list and cross off half of them; I don't care what ~~half~~ half you cross out; even if you want to drop the seminary, that is up to you. You cross out any half you want to cross out and I believe if you do that you will be able to do the others in a way that will last after you are gone. But," I said, "you're in so many things ~~xxxxx~~ you just leave all kinds of loose ends and I don't know whether naything will be left after your leadership is no longer there." "Oh," he said, "I have another twenty years," and paid no attention to what I had said. Most of the things that he is against I think are harmful, but I don't think that most is accomplished by opposing everything. I feel that we have to decide what is most important, ~~and~~ stand ~~xxxxx~~ on it, and then do what compromising is necessary on secondary issues in order to an influence on the things we consider primary. His attitude is to demand conformity to his view on everything and the result is that he loses more and more people. Once when some fine people came to desired to work with him, someone- I think it was ~~a~~ DuVall/ said, "My, it's grand that he's always getting new people to work with him because he's always losing so many." Most of the things that Carl attacked I felt needed to be ~~attacked~~ attacked, but I felt that by taking so predominantly negative an attitude one cuts down his influence tremendously and does not accomplish a great deal in the end. Also, by his insistance on his ~~own~~ own way ~~xxxx~~ in everything he lost a lost of support that he might have had. I had my difficulties with him throughthe years but I rarely them to anyone else. and people got an idea that I was strictly under his thumb, which was never the case. When the ~~g~~ group separated from us in '56 I thought how foolish it would be for me to go with them on this when I have put up with far worse ~~gx~~ things in the past and said nothing, than the things they are comp~~l~~aining about. How foolish it would