

he did not give it in a way that many students would get. At Westminster there was always a desire to be a continuation of "the old Princeton". At Princeton Hebrew was always taught from four to six in the afternoon, and that is when it was taught at Westminster. One student said to me, "Many students hate Hebrew and I believe that the reason is ~~XXXX~~ because that it comes at such a disagreeable hour at the very end of the day." This statement was made the last year I was there. The Princeton tradition was faithfully maintained in making the schedule all through the years, <sup>as they</sup> Many other Princeton traditions.

When we started Faith I ~~thought~~ <sup>2</sup> one great advantage <sup>1</sup> we had ~~was~~ <sup>3</sup> that we bound by no such traditions. We were free to do everything in whatever way seemed to us to be most ~~probably~~ <sup>2</sup> probable to bring the results, desired. ~~That was one of the great advantages in starting Faith.~~

At Princeton I had ~~been~~ had the privilege of considerable association with the individual professors and thus <sup>probably</sup> got ~~perhaps~~ <sup>2</sup> more of an idea of administration and problems of various sorts than the average student would get. At Princeton the president had been out of sympathy with most of the faculty. The majority of the faculty wanted the seminary to stand ~~one hundred percent~~ <sup>100 percent</sup> ~~100%~~ for the full doctrinal teaching that had been characteristic ~~of~~ (nc?)

Princeton through the centuries. The president felt that his great interest in life was to bring the seminary into line with other Presbyterian seminaries and to do away with opposition between them. Thus he could go out and give a great talk for the faith in which as one strong defender of the faith said to me, "He rang every bell." On the other hand, he ~~could~~ <sup>in</sup> do things and in <sup>his teaching</sup> class and <sup>and</sup> show in his friendships and the people he got to speak, <sup>he showed</sup> etc. and so on considerable lack of sympathy with the ~~cause of~~ those who wanted to stand for the Gospel. And, at one time, at the General Assembly, I saw him attack Dr. Machen ~~like~~ <sup>as</sup> a wild bull--so great was his opposition to those who were trying to keep Princeton as it had been before. The ~~men~~ <sup>men</sup> who founded Westminster had <sup>after</sup> been thoroughly disgusted, ~~as they sent~~ <sup>2</sup> (?) ~~and~~ <sup>after</sup> having spending the whole year trying to inculcate certain ideas into the students, <sup>seeing</sup> and having all the special services when ~~men~~ <sup>men</sup> came in from outside <sup>be</sup> in the hands of people who had no sympathy with the views of the "Old Princeton," they felt that Dr. Stevenson's power had been quite <sup>out</sup> ~~of~~ place and they felt therefore that they should have no president ~~of~~ at Westminster, and Westminster did not have a president until the time came, many years later when they wanted accreditation and were told they must have ~~a~~ <sup>2</sup> president. <sup>until</sup> until that there was only a chairman of the faculty. I think the <sup>eventually</sup> time came when they called him president of the faculty instead of chairman of it, but he would <sup>3</sup> he was just theoretically one presiding over discussions. Of course Dr. Machen's intelligence was so keen and his <sup>standing</sup> ~~standing~~ <sup>2</sup> was so great that he, as presiding officer exerted a very great influence, but