

from God to man. It is wrong to base a study of it on the presupposition that it must be an error-filled human book, that it therefore has to be divided into alleged sources, for which there is no real evidence, and that its early portions were derived from pagan mythology, as is done in the course to which exception is taken.

We strongly favor an unbiased scholarly study of the Bible, but not the sort of biased misrepresentation that often is falsely designated as scholarship. Further illustration of our view is provided by the very name of the booklet by the late Dr Robert Dick Wilson, published originally by The Sunday School Times Company and entitled, *Is the Higher Criticism Scholarly?*

LETTER 27  
1977

## **THE PRONUNCIATION OF BIBLICAL NAMES**

The Interpreter's Dictionary of the Bible is a very scholarly work and contains a great deal of valuable information. Yet I personally prefer the Zondervan Pictorial Bible Dictionary and the Zondervan Pictorial Encyclopedia of the Bible, which were written by men who accept the Bible as God's infallible Word. Various statements in the Interpreter's Dictionary of the Bible reflect the fact that so many of its writers were followers of the Higher Criticism.

There is no such thing as an exact equivalent in one language of a name in another language. Every language has its own particular way of expressing sounds. Most of us are so accustomed