"Oh, no, not as great as they are but he is one of the saints."
Well, that doesn't mean that the Jesuits taught these people that Christ was
inferior to these saints. That is the interpretation which had come upon these
people sometime after the Jesuits had left xxxx and there is much more
erroneous and harmful in the Roman Catholic teaching but it would be utterly
wrong to blame the impression which was secured by people on the perifera of
Roman Catholic teaching. These may be a natural result of their message but they
do not represent what was truly taught.

I have often had people come to me and tell me what a thrilling experience
they have had seeing people brought together. A Protestant and a Catholic and
a Jew. And they discussed religion and what a thrilling experience they had
The trouble is in most of those cases no one knew anything about his relation end §11.

Discussion among people who know something about a subject distribute the
knowledge. Discussion among people who know little or nothing about it simply
distribute ignorance. So in this case the people from these other countries
thought of the God of Israel thxxxx as a tribal God. That is no reason to say that
the Israelites ever thought of Him that way and certainly not the trained
Israelites, the ones who were truly followers of God.

(Question) We are not told here. The impression which is secured is that it
happened almost immediately that the other people came in. Now I would think that
there would naturally be an interval of some months perhaps two or three years.
I don't think that the lions actually took it over but they became rather bold
as if they were heading in that direction. It would not take a great many such
occurences to get wise to give rise to a terrific fear. Then, of course, there
is this also to remember that at the death of Pekah the Assyrians overran the
land and there was considerable depopulation then, quite a number of the
Israelites taken away at that time. The land was in a much weaker dc condition