greatly changed. When you look at the place which has been considered to be Calvary for the last 1600 years and you look at that place, it is in the middle of the present city and it is underground in the present city. It doesn't look the least bit like it is supposed to be. When you look at the place which Gordon thought was Calvary it is outside the present wall and xxxxxx there is a hill water there which has the arrangement of the rocks is such that it looks exactly like a separation exactly like There is no reason in the world to think that it looked that way two hundred years ago. There is no reason i n the world to think that the other looked like that two hundred years ago. The tradition on the other places goes back 1600 years, but that was after 300 years of turmoil after the time of Christ, 300 years of almost complete forgetfulness of the actual size ofx and location. The Christians for the first 300 years expected that Christ would very soon return and set up His king om on this earth and they were looking forward to what He would do rather than looking back to the places where He had done something. Then after Constantine they were interested in what had been done but 300 years is a big So to tell just where the fuller's field \$2x even if the place had been pointed out in the time of Christ as the fuller's x fix ax field, that wouldn't necessarily prove that that was the fuller's field 700 years before. Whether we can be sure where this place was or can ever be sure of the exact spot, I do not know. But we can be sure that theplace where the emissaries of Sennacharib stood was the same place where Isaiah had stood before Aham. The description was given in detail and it is exactly the same in both places. That is doubtless the reason why it is given so as to show us that at the very place where the one thing happened, where Ahaz thought by his clever human scheme of alliance with a wicked human force he was taxx going to secure safety, at that very place there was