

imposter who was making up all sorts of things. Suppose he did say that Jehoiakim is going to be killed ~~with the buria~~ and buried with the burial of an ass, his body thrown outside the wall and not buried. And then actually Jehoiakim died an honorable peaceable death and was buried. It simply is impossible to think that when Jeremiah ~~covered~~ published his book including ~~the~~ half prophecies from that reign and half from the next that he would have included those words, the prediction. If he had included them he would at least put a footnote at the bottom to explain that even though he was wrong, all the other commentators were equally wrong and therefore he is not to be blamed too much for it. But when he simply gives it as a prediction twice in his book with no explanation, ^{and} the book goes on to tell about events that happened in the next reign. ^{With any}

sort of a viewpoint it is perfectly clear that the thing must have taken place even though we have not any account of it. It is inconceivable that a man would include such a thing in his book otherwise. So I feel that we are absolutely ~~xxxxxx~~ safe in inferring from it that there was some sort of an insurrection which caused this fate to Jehoiakim. At any rate his son, Jehoiakim, became king and three months after his son became king the Babylonians took the city and carried him off into captivity.

(Question--Mr. Procello) No that is only in his reign I would say. Jehoiakim was twenty-five years old when he began to reign and he reigned eleven years in Jerusalem. He did that which was evil in the sight of the Lord his God. Against him came up Nebuchadnezzar, king of Babylon, and bound him in fetters to carry him to Babylon. Nebuchadnezzar also carried the vessels of the house of the Lord to Babylon. ^{and of 103,}

105-
14 1/2

first came in after the death of Pharaoh Necho but he bound him to carry him to Babylon. Then after he had given him a good scare didn't take him off but allowed him to stay, thinking that that would make him be loyal to him. At any rate we know that he did not take him into captivity. We know that it was his son who was