

outstanding feature in that Irish city of Dublin where there is hatred for everything British. There was still that beautiful column with Nelson's statue on it. It hadn't occurred to anybody to remove that as yet. It may never occur or it may. If it does, it may simply remain as a ruin somewhere in the city. It wouldn't be necessary for it to be ground into powder or broken up and used for something else. So that just how this came to be preserved we don't know. There's nothing remarkable about the fact that it was preserved, but we can be sure of this. No Israelite would ever for any reason put up for any reason ~~xxxxx~~ a monument in the city of Megiddo to say that Shishak conquered it, ~~he~~ unless he actually did. When we find the remains of such a monument in Megiddo there is a fact which is absolutely proof and unmistakable and unanswerable proof that Shishak did conquer Megiddo and that at this point in ~~the~~ his list at least that is correct. At least the name Megiddo was not copied by any scribe. If that is true the attitude of the scholars today is to think that most of ~~his~~ list is true and that there is valid historical source and useful to ~~us~~ in the study of the geography of Palestine at the time of the divided kingdom. That being the situation, we have to revise our statement in view of this discovery at Megiddo about the history of Shishak. and say that probably the claim that Shishak made is absolutely correct; probably he did conquer both the northern and the southern kingdoms; not conquer them in the sense of holding them ~~xxxxxx~~; ~~not~~ conquer them in the sense of plundering raid. In the account of Jeroboam's reign it is not mentioned here in ^{Kings} ~~a sense~~. That doesn't prove it didn't happen, of course. It does prove that he didn't hold it for a long period of time or it would have been important enough to be mentioned. But in the reign of Rehoboam it is mentioned interest to the and the ~~xxx~~ writer is particularly in its effect to the relation to the temple in Jerusalem. It did not result in any permanent servitude of the land. You will find that now the leader of some country ~~callxxi~~ may call in Stalin to help him with the neighboring countries. Naturally, you would think, Stalin would be a good friend of this country and call him in to help against these other countries. He may be or he may not. It depends upon on whether ~~he~~ thinks it is to his