

his father's title, so the king made Lord Irvin. In India you will find monuments to Lord Irvin, one of the most successful vicories in the history of England. When he left India and came back to England, his father had died in the meantime so now he succeeded his father and became Lord Halifax. Then Lord Halifax was over here as an American ambassador during the war and I remember talking with a man who had been a missionary in India and mentioning to him that Lord Halifax was the same man as Lord Irvin. He was astounded. He knew all about Lord Irvin in India. He had heard that Lord Halifax was the British ambassador here and never that there was any connection between the two. As foreign minister in England Lord Halifax was considered a failure, and as ambassador here not particularly successful, while as vicory to India Lord Irvin was considered one of the most successful men in the history of British relations with India. So you see that Lord Halifax didn't get much credit for it simply because a different name is used. Now that is a modern instance of the change of names in particular situations. This, ~~is~~ of course, is not nearly as involved as that. It is probably a different form of the name, Abijam and Abijah. *end of 26 + beginning of 27*

Now yesterday we discussed the reign of Abijam. We discussed first something of the location of Syria and its distinction from Assyria, a matter which is not important in connection with Abijam's reign, but comes ~~in in~~ important in connection with Asa's reign. We noticed the differences between Kings and Chronicles regarding Abijam, and examined the question as to whether these were contradictions or different emphases. Then we took up the reign of King Asa and we noticed a similarity to some extent to the reign of Solomon although not nearly so much of a decline as in the reign ~~xxxix~~ of Solomon. We noticed the fact that Asa was ~~xxx~~ true to the Lord; that he put the Lord first but there was somewhat <sup>of</sup> a declension in his old age in general attitude. We noticed the problem of the mother, Natha, whether she was Abijah's mother or Asa's mother and the fact that she is called Asa's mother and that David is called Asa's father and that it speaks of his father's in another passage. So we spent