

- Gen 17 - P - Elohim used much. But in beginning J is used. Another exception.
 - Gen 22:18 - Elohim except here where J is used in middle of closely knit story.

 "The Earliest Book of Hebrew History" - careful statement of critical theory in practice.

Check by W.H. Green and James Henry Orr

"The Problem of the Old Testament" --

Good for a student of Old Testament

- 5.- Within the documents we find other proper names not incorporated into theory and don't fit pattern of authorship. (Jacob vs. "Israel" (after renaming).
 Like: Dr. Buswell, Oliver, Uncle Buzz - our culture dislikes the same adjective repeatedly.
- 6.- It is interesting to note a similar use of divine names in the Koran (by Mohammed from Allah).
 Lord Irwin in India = Lord Halifax in Britain
 England's Pitt = Lord Chatham
- 7.- All interpreters admit that sometimes the names are used with special reason - Jehovah = personal intimate
 Elohim = plain, God.
 Elohim never used before Gen 6:3 since never given to men.
- 8.- Conclusions
 a.- Once exception is admitted, then there might be others.
 b.- Insufficient evidence, contradictory, inconsistencies.
 c.- We wonder if higher criticism would have ever developed without this foundational argument.
- R.- Continuous Narratives.
- Gen 1-20 - P & J story
- 21: - P-16, 26 - in vs. 1-2 there is a two-aspect telling of/
 23: - P
 24: - J with a few exceptions
 25: - J, P 17, J 18, P 19-20, J 21-25, P 26b, J to end
 30: - P 22
 31: - P 18
 33: - P 18 And this is continuous narrative! We will admit it's chronological, but that's all.
- 41: - P 41-46
 46: - P 6 - Phooey! Why should we separate these in the first place.
- The original Astruc argument, complicated by Hupfeld is now greatly attenuated. There may have been three documents but the more there are the smaller the chance of separating them.
 - W. H. Green ("The Higher Criticism of Pentateuch, 119-122) tries same method on Luke 15, Prodigal Son.
- A --Grundschrift A-11, 12a, 13, 14b, 20a, 21, 22, 24a, 25a, 28, 29, 32b.
 B --Supplementary B-11, 12b, 13a, 14a, 15, 16a, 17, 18, 19, 20b, 23, 24b, 25b, 26, 27, 32b.
 This splits much easier than O.T. parts.
 (Compare differences). This shows weakness of Continuous Narrative argument.