Is it or is it not? Now let's look at ... Here is (ool) which is addition of ... latest translation .... Brown, Driver and Briggs...they have this translated as change to...? ... the latest journey is.... Now there are one or two.... This word -?- means ...?

Side two.

This one is Ezekiel 16:63, translated.... Let's look exactly at the passive word, let's look at Ez. 16:63 and Miss Cordes will you read us the whole verse. You see in this case it doesn't impress me as being fully in agreement with ... or the R.S.V. Literally it is implying that there is ...... is not passive....declared the Lord. Now this kāh-phar' in the Piel.....

When I eradicated - student - to make an atonement....... they don't seem to change.... rather than to, it's justification rather than sanctification - or is it? It is ..?.. to remove the guilt.

I think we have to say the word "kan-phar'" fits into a separate group because

first, we only have it used as a verb once - - - but then we have this "koh-phar'" (?)

fifth, used in Gen. 6:14..... Student....

1:14 and 4:13. Now let's see, Brown, Driver and Briggs gives it as a separate reading. Koh-phar, noun, masculine, -?\*, Assyrian -?-. Student, Aramaic lone word. I think that I would guess from that that he meant inaramaic ..?.. I think that's what he means. He gives Syriac ..?.., then gives ... imperical. That's not a great deal of evidence but yet I think it's probably not... Student. In Eng. we have Pitch, to pitch a ball, then we have the pitch of a - of music. And we have the pitch that is a chemical. We have three different words there which have exactly the same ..?.. but which have different meanings, evidently are different words