

at the moment inclined to the first. Now we'll take that subject up a little later, but this matter of post-millennialism, its third error is, that it must twist Revelation 20 beyond reason, and we noticed how <sup>Kik</sup> ~~Peak~~ twisted it. He has a whole book on it here, and when you get through with it, his method could make anything mean anything, it seems to me. Alford, one of our greatest commentators, when I was teaching in Westminster, I was talking with a professor of theology there one time, and I referred to Alford's writing, and I spoke about how they were a bit old now, Alford's commentary. And I said, my, I wish somebody would get something out as good now. Well, he said, there are some men like Alford who do their job so well, that that can stand for centuries. So highly was Alford regarded, until the time when I got out this little pamphlet, in which I quoted Alford, no, it wasn't this pamphlet, ~~an~~ it was another pamphlet which ~~I quoted Alford's~~ came out, which I wrote an appendix on for a writing that someone else got out, and I quoted Alford's statement that if in Revelation 20 means anything other than a pre-millennial view, than anything can mean anything, and words cease to be of use in presenting (2 3/4), and in the library there, Alford's set, within the next week or two, moved up to a higher shelf, where it was less accessory, and the same man who told me that Alford was so very very fine, said, well, it is ~~one~~ of various commentaries (3), he didn't put it on quite the same level, after I had pointed out how with strong extreme language Alford uses on this particular thing. But Alford is a very excellent, outstanding commentator, but on this point he is almost ~~rad~~ radical on his strong statement, he says, words cease to have any meaning, if Revelation 20 means anything different than this, that there is a resurrection of the righteous, and then a period of a long time in this generation, (3 1/2), but Revelation 20, if you take it in its simple, clear, natural meaning, it presents a return of Christ, Satan bound, a resurrection of the just, they live and reign with Christ a thousand years, the phrase is repeated six times throughout, and then when the thousand years are finished, the rest of the dead are raised, and judged. That is the simple, natural interpretation of Revelation 20. Now there are many people who have tried to interpret it in other ways and differently, but no two of them agreed on how the interpretation (4 1/4), because you have to twist it so, that as