

discuss a basis of our working together. What is a basis on which all of the churches can get together. And they started on different bases, and different people would object to them as they came to them, as many of these students didn't accept anything in the scripture, and finally one of them said, well, let's not go through all this. Let's just get together on John 3:16. And then one other student said, well, I couldn't agree to that. He said, I can't believe that idea of the only begotten son of God there. That idea of the Deity of Christ there. I can't accept that, he said. And then another student from another seminary said, oh, don't worry about that, you can exegete John 3:16 properly to get rid of that meaning. And if you can get rid of the deity of Christ and His atonement out of John 3:16, that type of (8 1/2) is the thing we are facing in the church, and I think it is vital that we ourselves are not guilty of that type of thing. And I know of no way to (9) hold an a-millennial view ~~except~~ except to do one of three things. And I've known people who did all three. One, ignore the prophetic passages altogether, and put your attention simply on the soteriological. Two, put your attention on the soteriological passages, and say, great theologians have explained these others. I'm satisfied. I won't bother with it. Three, look in to them, and study them and explain them in a method which would get rid of all of the great doctrines of scripture if applied elsewhere in the Scripture. Now of course, of the three, the first two are far better than the third one, but I ~~think~~ don't think are the right approach. God called us to accept the whole Scripture. To believe the whole Bible. Jesus ~~a~~ said, to the men on the road to ~~Emmaus~~ Emmaus. Fools and slow of heart to believe all that the prophets have spoken. What does he think of us, if we leave out a great part of what the prophets have spoken, and either ignore it or explain it away, in a way which could just as well explain away His deity, and explain away the resurrection of Christ and the other great wonderful gospels. I feel that a-millennialism is a very very serious danger to the work of the Christian church, because of its methods of interpretation. I think that for that reason it is a very harmful thing. One which is bound to interfere with the church receiving the blessing of the Gospel. I'm thankful that those who hold it, are very inconsistent, in that they don't apply the methods that they use for the prophetic passages to the soteriological passages. They would insist that Jesus is the