We may xxmxih say something about thxxxxkxxx both kingdoms in sight of three verses but he's descussing one of them ixx and telling of its relation to the other one. Then he will tell of the same thing perhaps when discussing the other and telling of its relation to the other one. It is quite unfortunate that archbishop L.   when he made the divisons of the chapters was too busy with his pastoral calls to have time to study through and note the clear principle of organization because it would seem that the most logical places to divide the book into chapters would be where he switches from one kingdom to another. But the archbishop paid no attention to that in making his divisions, and that makes the chapter divisions rather confusing. That's why you will find your outline clarifies it considerablyy.xxxxx But does that mean then that if you read a story in I Kings 13 and then of another in Chapter 15 that the incident of 15 comes after the incident in 13? It doesn't, does it? It does if it is still in the same kingdom, but he may go back to the other one. It is as if a person were to give me history of the United States starting in 1776 and to up to 1860 and then go back to the history of England starting in 1620 and take it up to 1875, and then back to the United States in 1860 again. You have the relations between these two kingdoms told twice often, once from one viewpoint, once frmm the other. And so you cannot say because one event comes after another in the Bible that it follows the other in time. It may be that he has stopped talkkng about that kingdom and gone back to the other kihgdom, and he may have started in an earlier period. This is rather important to keep in mind. I think it is important tax not only for history but also for prophecy;when people get into the study of prophech it is too easy to think that because a thing is mentioned later, it necessarily comes afterwards. It may, but again it may not. The situation may be like this hene, but dealing with a different subject, and consequently it does not necessarily come after it.

Then just a few brief remarks on the matter of the chronology. We have