up one kingdom after the division and follows it down a certain distance and then it goes back to the other and follows it down a certain distance. It doesn't

say now in this year such and such a thing happened and in this year such a thing in Israel and the next year such and such a thing in Judah. and the next year such a thing in Israel and the next year such a thing in Judah. It treats them separately; it discusses a ways and then it duscusses the other a ways. I think you will notice as you make your outline that the divisions are very, very clear in Kings. He may say something about think both kingdoms inside of three werses but he is duscussing one of them and telling of its relation to the other. Then he will tell of the same thing perhaps when discussing the other and in xxxxxx telling of its relation at to the other. And it is quite unfortunate that Archbishop Langdon when he made the divisions of the chapters was too busy with his pastoral calls to have time to study it through and note the clear principle of organization because it would seem that the most logical places to divide the book into chapters would be where he switches from one kingdom to another. But the Archbishop paid no attention to that in making his divisions. That makes the chapter divisions rather confusing to kimx us. That is why you will find your outline clarifies it considerably .-- to note that switch from one to the other. But does that mean then that if you read a story, xxx an incident in I Kings 13 and then of another in 15 that the incident in 15 comes after the incident in 13. It doesn't, does it. It does if it is still in the account of the same kingdom. But he may go back to the other. It is as if a person where were to give a history of the United States starting in 1776 and go up to 1860 and then go back to the history of England starting in 1820 and take it up to 1875 and then back to the mitted States in 1860 again. You have the relations between these two kingdoms told twice often--once from viewpoint and once from the other. So you cannot say that because one event comes after another in the Bible that this follows the other in time. It may be that he has stopped talking about that kingdom and has gone back to the other kingdom. He may have started in an earlier period.