

to note this difference. It is really divisions as given here. Ray Standard Baker's "Letters to Woodrow Wilson" and it is about economics and science and it ^{was} ~~is~~ written about 20 years ago or some where about that time. If you can ever think of anything elaborate it is these treatises on nature, etc. The other is the beautiful essay of David Grayson. When Baker wrote a book so utterly different in subject matter and the book written on that. It would be harmful to write under his own name because people who would want books would then see them under his name and they would say that is not the sort of books we are interested in. ^{One} ~~ones~~ who discussed the simple things of the country, etc. would never pick out this book by Ray Standard Baker and that is not the sort of thing they were interested in. The ones who were interested in his other books would pick it up and be so disgusted that they would not want to read it. They did not expect that sort of thing. There were many who loved R. S. Baker but had no use for Grayson, etc. and there were others who liked both and then they would know by the author which type it was. It was a relief for him from his political and heavy research work. He used to start it with the subject matter.

Pentateuch #79

14 ✓ It is really a division of two types of subject matter --P is dealing with lists, tabulations and statements of precise rule and we ask what is to be done with the different impressions of the body and just what kind of clothes is the priest to wear and all sorts of things you put in in the new law book and then you want to know what to do in this particular connection. If you would take one of our legislatures in congress and take a speech that he makes to his constituents and try to get them to elect him senator and the law would be right and the conclusion of the law book. They may make quite a few speech on the things he had done for the community and that type of thing and then how rapidly the evil would have come if the law had not been adopted but when he writes the law it is with whereas and therefore, etc. It is put in this sort of style that tries to make it legal and to show just what the conditions are and to show what is to be done. P is of the priestly laws and the laws not meant to be memorized by the people but kept for the priests. Geneologies in which you use these certain things and most of the material of P is either of laws, geneologies, etc. It confuses somewhat the understanding of the situation and about the only ones that are not very clear in the P document are the ones that come at the beginning of it and consequently they are the