

result is that you have no body and no material of any length and there is no proof it existed ever and this you can take as a foundation for comparison in style. The main part of it as you know was found by the Jews and accepted by the Jews at the time of Josiah and it became a book of the law. If you are to take up the question simply from this view point --is the Christian religion a mass of fraud--is it something that some clever rascals have worked up for their own personal advantage? If that is what you are interested in, then naturally we have to study the whole field and we cannot take that question by just the one book itself. As a matter of fact, the ones who

are studying this criticism--the people who go off into it in the first instance are godly Christians who become convinced that the criticism is right and they go off and accept it and present it and gradually their view points are taken away from evangelical Christianity altogether and so we are not interested in the study of the criticism and the whole question could be studied from the view point mentioned above and it would be very valuable to do that but it is more important for our purpose to look at it from this other view point--given that there is a God, a Divine Christ, that Christianity is a true religion and that we believe in the great teachings of the NT and then can we believe that the OT came into existence through the process of the development like this--do the arguments point in that direction or does it point in the direction that Christ is right? We do not bring that matter into consideration in very many points but there is one place --it is this book of Deut. which has been one of the greatest moral influences in the world, and a book which is quoted in the NT perhaps more than any other of the Pent. books and it has much influence and could it be simply a fraud. Was it written for personal advantages in order to advance themselves? That is the view of the men unwriting this theory largely held although it was not the view held by the ones responsible for the spreading of the theory. Most of them were men who claimed to be evangelicals. If it is a Christian who believes there is a definite difference between right and wrong and was it necessary for Christ to come and die for the sins of the world, and he