

This was ~~because~~ because the publisher was in such a hurry, as he was very anxious to have his edition come out ahead of one that had already been printed in Spain. Erasmus' text of the NT^o was slightly changed in succeeding decades as ~~and~~ a few other manuscripts became available. There were very few manuscripts from the early days of ^{five hundred} first/500 years of the history of the Chn^o church available when the King James Version was made. Today we have a very considerable number of such ~~many~~ manuscripts. On the basis of these early manuscripts it is possible to get nearer to the original text than ~~with~~ with the manuscripts that were used in preparing the Textus Receptus or the King James Version. KJV. Yet there is no essential difference. We have no objection to translations based upon the Textus Receptus. We feel it is slightly more honoring to the Lord to give primary attention to the earliest manuscripts of which a considerable number ~~of~~ have been discovered in recent years.