I understand that a book was written some time ago, wasw written during the last century, called, "Onphalos" a Greek word for a nail. The purpose of the Minel, book was to say that Adam was created with a real as if he had been born, althogugh grown—

imme actually he of course was created as a full man, bin he was thus created as a complete man, as if the long course of growth had increased through it, and that the same principle should be applied to the earth, and that the geological structure had been put in the earth, before its creation, at the time of xex its creation, so that it would look as if it had gone through a long time of development.

As far as the geological EXECUTERS is concerned, it must be confessed that today
this theory does not appeal to us/at all. It would MEETH seem as if God were trying
to confuse us by leaving marks of things which, properly interpreted, would teach
that there had been a long period of development. This, of course, entirely & depends
the
on the types of the strata, the type of fossiles, the sort of development,
and so on. It is our feeling, however, that God would not deceived us in such a way,
that we find something which indicate the type of development which we find
actually happening today that we are justified in saying that it indicates the
similar development occurred in the past.

It is not the purpose of this paper to go through the question of the development matter of of geological strata or the whole/geological ages. There is much in this which has been established to the f satisfaction of all scholars and there are in it, every certain as in other science, points which deserve considerable more thought than they as have yet had, before we are able to speak/dogmatically as many men are inclined to do. My purpose rather is to indicate that it would certainly up to God whether He would create the chicken first or the egg first. It is not necessary that we cause a development.