

No one had thought of challenging the learning and the authority of the noted bishop before. Here he was challenged not only as to the accuracy of his facts but also as to the sincerity of his devotion to truth. The Victorian ideals were turned against acceptance of the Book, <sup>the</sup> which was really the source from which those ideals had come. <sup>(2)</sup> A woman in the audience fainted. <sup>(1)</sup> The undergraduates clapped and yelled. <sup>(3)</sup> Pandemonium broke loose. The incident illustrates the force that broke the backbone of the anti-Evolution position. The supporters of Evolution appeared before the world as men who were devoted to truth and sincerely ready to follow wherever it led. Many of the defenders of the Bible <sup>e</sup> wither were or were made to appear to be men who ~~were~~ held merely differing *certain* viewpoints on which their living was based, without any deep loyalty to truth and righteousness. L

Actually, of course, the matter went far deeper than this. Darwin's argument amounted to a claim to explain the origin and development of the universe on a basis which needed no divine direction, or at most a great first cause which started the process and then let it go on as accident might direct. The great success of the Evolution Movement was due to many causes but three stand out. (1) The fact that it could be built on the authority of a man who had the reputation of being an honest researcher, devoted to the cause of science and understood to be ready to follow *truth* if wherever it might lead. (2) The brilliant argument and polemic of the indefatigable Huxley. (3) The idea that a theory had now been found which ~~would~~ did away with all need of any idea of purpose such as had been required in the Evolutionary Theory of Erasmus Darwin and of Lamarck. *all earlier writers.*

It is interesting that today the theory of Evolution has ~~been~~ won such wide acceptance but that the scientific world is greatly divided ~~so~~ as to the methods and causes by which Evolution is said to have been carried on. Many scientists speak as if they felt that Darwin's particular ideas as to the method of Evolution had been largely disproven. Thus *Prof. Goldschmidt, Prof. of Botany in U. of Calif.* ~~the noted Carl~~ issued a long tome a few years ago in which he claimed to have investigated every alleged evidence of slow change such as would fit with Darwin's theory. Examining one after another he came to the conclusion that none of them stood up and that evidence of gradual