

The first three pages of the statement seem to be a declaration of belief and viewpoint, while the following pages are expressed as if arguing with someone, using rhetorical questions, and asking why a certain view is not preferable to another view. ^a ~~It~~ Either/declaration of belief carried throughout, or a discussion with arguments and rhetorical questions carried throughout, would ^{im} express me as ^{more} ~~more~~ effective than such a change of approach in the middle of the statement.

I notice that page four begins ^{with} the words ~~is~~ "Theistic evolution is frequently projected as a viewpoint in harmony with the scriptural account of man's creation." Then it defines theistic evolution as a view that "projects a natural cause and effect development with certain limited ~~or~~ divine intervention." (I fear that I do not like this word "projects.") Two thirds of the way down the following page reference is made to "the theistic evolutionary basic principle that all physical phenomena must be explained by natural development." As expressed, these two statements seem to contradict each other. The first definition of theistic evolution could include almost anything, it being a question as to ⁽²⁾ ⁽¹⁰⁾ ⁽³⁾ how much divine limited intervention" would be permitted.

Personally I would prefer a different approach to the matter of evolution. I would be pleased to see ^{it} ~~it~~ stated that the word "evolution" is used in various senses. Often it is used simply to mean "development," and when thus used it ~~merely~~ states a fact that is obvious to everyone, such as the development of a boy into a man, or of a caterpillar into a butterfly.