

not a single observation ~~which~~ or experiment in support of this steady-state theory, which does not ~~of~~ equally well support the ~~explos~~ explosion theory. This statement was made in 1956. I asked Dr. Page this month/ whether this statement <sup>would</sup> still hold~~s~~, and he said/ that as far as he knows it is true. However, the steady-state <sup>which</sup> and theory/seems to do away with the ~~origination~~ original creation/holds that the universe is eternal, has been rapidly growing <sup>in</sup> ~~at~~ the acceptance of scientists. Now the question with which we might ~~concern~~ concern ourselves is, "Does the steady-state theory or oscillating theory contradict the Bible?"

There was a ver interesting article in Newsweek last year, which carried a picture of Fred ~~Joyle~~ <sup>then</sup> ~~Joyle~~ on cover, and ~~then~~ told about these three the ories <sup>tific</sup> and gave the impression that the scienc~~es~~/world was divided between the explosion theory which the exponents of the steady-state call the big-bang theory, and the steady-state theory. The impression one gets in reading this rather oversimplified <sup>either</sup> article is that most scientists are quite convinced of/one or the other of these two theories. Actually if one reads a bit of scientific material, one soon finds that ~~during~~ <sup>a</sup> the last 30 years there have been/great many theories of the ~~major~~ nature and origin of the universe ~~which~~ that have been advanced by different scientists. There is a very considerable variety among these theories. We are ~~of~~ very far from having any scientific evidence to prove one or the other of them. The evidence actually gets pretty into the field of philosophic ideas and inclinations/. It is true that we have a great many experiments and observations which ~~show~~ throw light upon certain aspects of some of these theories which raise particular difficulties in relation to some of them. Therefore it is felt that <sup>certain</sup> ~~in~~/particular areas if they, if it ~~would~~ <sup>should</sup> be possible to investigate them/ properly, it might disprove either one of these theories. It is hard to see how anything could be observed at present ... would prove one of the theories... short of sudden change in the spectrum of all the distant galaxies