

Pr- 1

thing to happen as a result of the extension of the gospel, and you can make an argument for one or the other of these from this passage but you cannot conclusively prove from this passage which of the two is true, but I believe that you can prove from this passage that one of the two must be. I do not know of any other interpretation which can be held without ignoring this passage. I feel that that is absolutely safe to be said and, of course, I do not mean, however, to say that we necessarily would go that far with only this passage. If there was nothing else in the Bible to show a millennium we might say, "Well, it looks very much that way but there is only one passage, and I don't know." We might say that, but there isn't just one passage, there are various others which we examine later. This passage looks very, very strongly in the direction of either pre- or postmillennium, and if we find other passages which on careful examination look equally strongly in that direction, then we can say that the only way in which one can take a view other than the pre- or postmillennial view is to ignore these passages, to cut them out of the Scripture or to adopt in relation to them methods of interpretation which, if applied to the New Testament, would even more easily get rid of the bodily resurrection of Christ, of His atonement and of His deity. I think that anything that gets the millennium out of these passages goes beyond what is necessary to consider the resurrection of Christ merely the great principle of permanence of personality, as one modernist told me. He believed in the resurrection of Christ--it was just the great principle of permanence of personality. Well, now, v. 5, I don't think we looked at together in class, did we? I don't think we did. (Student) Yes. I don't think we looked at v. 5 in class. Verse 5, of course, has an importance far less than that of the previous four verses, because there is no such great and tremendous teaching to be derived from it, but as you first look at v. 5 you immediately are struck with a problem. What does v. 5 of chapter 4 mean? Now, I think our English translation is rather uncertain; in fact, extremely uncertain. I don't think the translators of the Authorized Version knew what the verse meant, and I think that consequently they simply gave us a fairly literal rendering of the