would take a real sweep to make a change. And of course ; in Homer there has been what you'd call a real was sweep a tremendous conflict in Homer but in most of the people in the literary field the change has just gradually come and they don't evenrealize it. But it's there. This man from Yale with wrote me. He said, "Just compare the writings ! - " He named about ten men's writings on literature of thirty years ago -"with such men as"- and he gave another # the for today. Just compare their writings and you can see the difference in appreaches. But it's gradual. They don't realize it. in Homer where there has been quite a strong controlersy and still there are those who hold that it's terrible to think of Homer as all the work of me one writer. But when you get down to it they've moved ninety percent of the way toward that, so actually they will themselves say that 'Nobody holds such theories as the theories of Olsen (?) and these men of a hundred years ago. And of course/theories are just tiek like the OT° c? W. J. Miller, who is in the U° of kin Liverpool, wrote a little Criticism. booklet on stylistic criteria/ of the Pent°. He has some very good points. and it was reviewed by a professor in Union Semo. And what he w said was, ''Very XXxx/interesting,", what Martin (?) said, but, he said, "It's strange, I he brought in all/the illustrations from the classics rather than from the Near Eastern field. Well, now, this man Kitchen was one of Martin's (?) students and it may be that he's reacting against that write criticism of Martin in setting to work to bring his illustrations specifically from the Near Eastern field. Of course the difficulty is so few people can really control the Near There are many mark more who can read the classics than who Eastern work. can read Egyptian or Babylonian. And when you bring in illustrations from those many of these men xxxxxxxxxxxx have to a say, 'Well, I don't know that I'm (unclear - 7 1/4)

He said, "But I don"t know." And, as a matter of fact, I wasalixhax would he sitate about using (?) him although I have no doubt he's a very