And then the next term, in getting the acrostic TULIP, they call it "Irresistible Grace." And as James Orr says in his book on the progress of dogma, "That is a very unfortunate term, because to say that grace cannot be resisted is just nonsense. Everybody, saved or lost, has resisted grace. We Everyone does resist grace. We, after we're saved, the initial contents are resist grace. To say that grace cannot be (sentence resisted is not -- not finish But to say that God's grace accomplishes the ed) purposes for which He sends it, and that it is because of His goodness, not because of any goodness in us, that we are saved, is simply what the Scripture teaches. And so if you understand the term correctly, what it stand for is certainly true, but it can easily be misunderstood.

And then the last term, 'Perseverance of the Saints,' in the Canon of the Synod of Dort, it says that the preservation of the saints is guaranteed in the Scripture, that God causes that those who are truly saved will persevere, and so the perseverance of the saints is perfectly true, rightly understood, but (sounds like "diseaten") unfortunately (?) to use (?) the term alone, without the cognate term that is in the Canon of Dort, "Preservation of the Saints" gives the /impression almost that it's something we do, when actually it is what God does, that He preserves us if we have truly believed in Christ wa and have been saved together (?). So I think that this is a case where the making of