

interest?(not clear). The two

should be separated. Exercise your creativity. It's good sometimes in a passage of Scripture . Take the passage and just think of any question that occurs to you, any idea that occurs to you even if it seems rather ridiculous, even if there seems to be no basis to it, even if right away you can/tear it to pieces, & just think of it and write it down. Get just as many ideas as you can in relation to the passage. Then go through them and critically assess them, see the reasons why some of them are of no value. See the reasons why some of them should be cast aside, and then you will find that some of those that at first sight if you were using some of your criticism would never have been given much attention., actually can be developed into something of great value. The balance is ~~not~~ found not by getting a ~~mid~~ mid point where your creativity is stifled, and where your criticism doesn't have full sway, but by utilizing both aspects. When it comes to political life we find a constant struggle between the desire for freedom and the desire for order, and we have to stress both points, &/ and we have to see that each gets its proper attention. If somebody says I've got to have freedom, I've got to have liberty, I'm going to drive on whichever side of the road I feel like, nobody is going to order me that I've got ~~that~~ to drive on this particular side of the road. You will soon find that he doesn't get very far as a driver. You've got to have order; you've got to be restricted in certain things but very easily & we can lose our freedom because some so quickly feel that they can accomplish much more if they can get everybody to walk in lockstep and go just where they want and move in the direction that they say. Prof. Jaques Barzun who was dean of the faculty of Columbia University for a good many years in his book on the American