the eschatology is not a furfuture thing, it is a present situation. XXX What do they mean by that? It is my feeling that most of bt is the It is a feeling that the church is here to i make a same as it was was before. That is the primary purpose. And that the Exsitianx Christian world. Christian way to make a **Exsitian** world is to change the size of it. Now, to me, a great evidence of this is the fact that our World Council leaders in 1 the United States are so prominent in various Communist fronts. You find their names in the lists, at the head of them. Bishop Oxnam, the prominent Methodist bishop, here. Very prominent in the World SXXX Council. XXXX Declares before a congressional investigating committee last summer that in case after case he didn't even know they had his name, or he had just allowed them to use his name without much axaptkay sympathy, necessarily, But when a man lets w twenty organizations put his name with the organizations. TAEX waxtheirxiistyxkaxenstxhauexammexreasmaxtox on their letter-head, hhey must have some reason that to think he favors in a general the purpose of those organizations. D don't think Oxnam was deliberately trying to forward the Communist conspiracy, but I mean that the language that these people used was in line with the idea which has been the mainspring of his life for thrity years back, the idea that the purpose of the hristian & church is to revolutionize the social order and to make a new social order which shall be whastxtheyx what they call a Christian Social order.

Now, it is becoming lately increasingly difficult to find quotations in modernist writings in America that wither explicitly deny the Bible or that explicitly show their great interest in a new social order. They dont speak as plainly as denying the Bible as they used to, partly because of the criticism that has come of it, from many of their statements. And, the recent reprision revulsion of against new near-Communism and the congressional investigation, leads many to be much more careful in their statemeters statements in this regard than used to be. But, the other tone, the attitude impresses me as very much the same.

I have the Ecumenical Review, here, of April 1954, I notice their

5