the children of the bread of life. Because it preaches another gospel.

That they are not giving the Gospel, they are not presenting the Word of God, the people do nothear it. That is the worst thing about it by far, of course. It is putting secondary things in primary place and leaving witxthen out the primary.

I heard of a woman in a fine orthodox church here in the eastern xx portion of the United States, recently. Some one bold me, who had attended that church. A very orthodox church. One which held to the Scriptures and denied no doctrine That this woman who had been a Sunday School teacher for of the Scriptures. many years was asked if she was going to go to heaven when she died, and she said, I don't know. she said, I hope I will . She said, I hope I have lived a good enough life to go to heaven when I die, but I don't know. minister of that k church, I believe, was an orthodox man who could have wrotten a good essay on the atonement of Christ and explained just how it I think he was thoroughly orthodox. But he was very badly failing in his duty if a Sunday School tea cher or the simplest member of his congregation failed to realize that the Bible teaches that it is not the works of life but solely according to the mercy of the Lord Jesus Christ that any of us are saved. And that any of us whose faith is in Him can know that we are saved. And that we are going to heaven.

Now, this minister was an orthodox man, and he was not a social gospeler.

But he was putting secondary things, and some of them doubtless very www.dedxxx wonderful secondary things,
/in a primary place. **xxxxxxxxxxxxx* And failing to put the primary things
the first things, t where they belong. This first thing is not the only
thing, we want to go on with other things. But if we neglect this, then all
other is as nothing.