ORIENTATION - Scholarship - September 22, 1956 - Page 6

And then he goes over to his university and finds real nice people and whose character he finds attractive and their attitude he likes, and they don't believe

(13.5) And his head's all in a whirl. And his mother brought

him to talk to me

Dnd of Record #1

are going to find that before long you will have something (?) on me, who are facing these very serious problems and a flat, dogmatic answer won't satisfy them. But if you can go into a few of these matters and can show them intelligently, in the light of careful, scholarly, factual knowledge that it is not necessary for them to accept what the unbelievers say on these points, then they are not to be ready to take your word on other points, that you can't go through everything, but have a few things you understand thoroughly - it's far better than just a little smattering of knowledge with perhaps a little bit of the answers to a great many questions. And I am particularly glad for this reason that Dr. Hedegard is here this year. Because he has spent many years of careful study of the problems of the authenticity of the New Testament Books . And those are (1.25) to deny today in the world. And there are those who are trying to tear down our belief that the New Testament is a dependable book. And he doesn't just stand up in a dogmatic way, saying, "No, this is all wrong", but he goes into these facts and sees what is true

(1.5) and then sees just where they have insufficient thought or where they have misinterpreted something, or where there are facts they haven't taken into consideration, and that when you get all of them and examine them, you find they fit with the Christian postion.readily. That was one of Dr. Mason's (?) great points that the Christian faith is intellectually defensible. We don't claim that you can establish Christian doctrines on a few points of human reason. You can't do that anymore than if I were to ask you to tell me something of Australia and you would say, "Well now according to all reasonable principles, Australia ought to be about so hig, ought to have about so many mountains and so many rivers, and so on." Well, we'd say, "What are you talking about? How do