

scripts, it occurred in all the western manuscripts, it occurred in all neutral manuscripts, except one, and one manuscript omitted that clause, and on the basis of that, Nestle omitted it altogether from (3 1/4) Well, personally, I think his decision was very erroneous in that particular case. But the important thing is not to know what Nestle considers should be in the text or what important things should be in the text or what Suder ~~thinks~~ thinks should be in the text, but what is the evidence, So we can judge for ourselves.

Now this article in Look Magazine told about this undertaking which I think is a very excellent project. To get the thousands of manuscripts, examine them and see what the evidence is, takes many years, but we <sup>will</sup> have it so we can say here is a passage which is omitted in these three manuscript, here is one omitted in these two, but in a thousand others. Here is something that is omitted in all the manuscripts before the 6th century A.D., but an entirely different situation from one which is omitted only in one of the ancient manuscripts. But Look told about these fellows getting this material and then it went on to say that actually there is hardly a verse in the N.T. about which there is not some doubt about something in it, because some manuscript had an error. Well, if thousands of manuscripts are copies it is strange indeed if there weren't some error in at least one of them, on just about every verse. But when you take the preponderance of material we are in a ~~able~~ better position to know the text of the N.T. than the text of any other ancient work by far. Take one of the great Greek or Roman (4 3/4) of which we may have one manuscript and that from the 10th century A.D., representing copying, copying, copying, recopying, and eventually a copy made in the 12th century A.D. and that was the only copy we have of it, and that's our text for this great classical work. Well, it may be full of mistakes, who knows, but when you have hundreds and hundreds of manuscripts, ~~what~~ a situation you are in, you know what the evidence is. But this article in the advertisement in the front of this magazine, and in the headline of