

of doctrine or of judgment. It is just as true from beginning to end as if it had beengiven by verbal dictation. It is inerrant, infallible, it is entirely dependable. Of course we are talking about the original MSS. These MSS have been copied and recopied. This has been done with great care. Nevertheless, a few minor errors crept in in the course of copying. Then it has been translation. No translation can exactly represent the original. If we want to know exactly what our English Bible means we must compare it with the Hebrew and Greek. Our KJV was a very excellent translation and gives a very good idea of what the Hebrew and Greek words mean. Our present MSS are very close, though not absolutely identical with the original. We believe the original Scripture as given by God was entirely free from error. We do not believe it was given by verbal dictation, but we believe the result is just as accurate as if it had been given by verbal dictation.

McCarthy gives several pages attacking, often by very specious arguments, the Christian idea of inspiration which is so erroneously designated as the verbal dictation theory. He even twists the quotation from Calvin in such a way as to lead the reader to get the false impression that Calvin did not believe the Bible was infallible and inerrant. How refreshing it is when one reads the clear expression of Calvin's actual view that the Bible has come from the hand of God and has nothing belonging to man mixed with it.

In the Sunday Schools of most of our large denominations lessons are now being given that reduce the Bible to a mere human book and prepare the way for utter unbelief. God knew from eternity that the present tide of infidelity would sweep over the world. He knew that the tide of destructive criticism would begin in France and Germany in the 18th and 19th century, would grow and spread, and eventually ~~would~~ would sweep with tremendous power through the