you will he have enough experiences to show that it takes a tremendous lot of effort to make a revexlation that cannot be interpreted in a way xx different from the way that is that which is 1.k It is a very difficult thing to revealideas ... and this difficulty of course is compounded by the fact that words are very instruments with which to reveal ideas. Words are difficult things to use for the purpose, k but they are far better means than any other means that we have of revealing most-dd- ideas. Well, then, revelation, God revealed himself, and God revealed Himselfklf to the prophet, He revealed Himself to the apostles, He revealed $^{\mathrm{H}}$ imself most of allto the person of His son, and those that knew the Lostrd Jesus Christ could get both kins-kinds of reveaklation-revelation because they could observe it and see whow-they-Hebehaved, they could learn the character of God from seeing the action of & Christ, Christ was the great revealtion-revexlation. of God, but inspiration is revelation of God throught the Bille. It is more than that because the Bible contains the-materials that are not gizven to the right-writers as far as we know to direct revealtion of-revelation of God. When Paul told Timothy x the cloak that I left at Traos bring with thee, it is p ossible that God revealed to Paul who had been hungitating all over for his cloak, the fact that he had left it at Troas, so this may represent a divine revelation, but I think that it is more like by that Paul would be mouch more ordinarily and systematic than I am, ax knew exactly where he had left back his cloak, and intentionally left it there because he didn't need it in the certainly summer, In the warm summer in those areas it would be a nuisance, -so-to take his cloak-at-Trais--around, so he left it axt Toxroas, but whe-as winter approached, he wna--wanted Timothy to bring it with-to him, and so it is