

lake and the circles go out and out and out and they are spreading outward, and more people believe in these unChristian theories today than ever did before because they are constantly being extended.

I saw a book by a man named Anderson called Understanding the OT which they claim is being used as a textbook today in 1400 colleges they claim in their advertisement. This book tells you ~~xxxx~~ as solid established fact about the J document, the E, D. and P documents and there is ~~a~~ not a shrew of ~~xxxx~~ evidence that such documents ever actually existed. Here's the thing thought. Wellhausen says the J document began 850 B.C.; the E document 750 B.C. and the P document 500 B.C. But you look in Anderson's book and he's got a chart that shows these dates with a line below, but above it he has little dotted lines up back 1000 years before and Wellhausen never heard of those dotted lines. Why does Anderson put in those dotted lines? Because in these last 80 yrs. archaeology has shown at point after point after point that statements in the J document and in the E document and ~~xxxx~~ even in those parts that the P document, represents historical facts of the time of Abraham or the time of Moses which would have been absolutely unknown to the writers at the time when the critics date J, E, and P. So the critics put in a little dotted line and say that represents oral transmission with such accuracy that little details are preserved exactly for hundreds of years through oral transmission until the time when these documents were written down.

I think it takes much less faith to believe as the Bible says that Moses wrote it down at the time when the events in his time happened or that Abraham wrote it in his time, and the different writers told us what occurred at the time of the events described.

The higher criticism of the OT has two points ~~as~~ which it is