

page, say p. 250. Here he began the ch. with the words, "The Pentateuch is an anonymous book. It does not state in it or elsewhere in the Bible who wrote it. There is no reason to think it was written in the form we have it today by Moses." Our minister didn't know what to say. This was in their deposition.

This was just typical how they were thoroughly prepared on the matter. You could not tell which angle they were going to come in and attack we got there and the trial started. Our lawyer felt the best thing to do was to have Dr. Fowler on the stand at once. Perhaps I should say that I talked to our lawyer before we went out there on the stand. He said, "What do you think about this? Do you think this is a religious matter?" I said something like "this is very definitely a religious matter teaching a different religion from the Christian religion. He said, "God spoke, God revealed Himself. God did things. There is another religion that says the Bible is the record of man's seeking after some knowledge of something beyond this life; it's not the record of what God did but of what man did in his seeking and in his groping after God. I said that is definitely religion, but it's not the Christian religion.

The lawyer said, If you'll come out here and say that exactly as you said it to me now, we've got the case 3/4 won. He was so enthused I wish I'd remembered exactly what I said! that impressed him so well. But I think he was over I don't think the Judge would be nearly as impressed as he was.

When we got there he put Dr. Fowler on the stand and he went through Dr. Fowler's deposition. We sat there at the table and he read from the deposition the questions and Fowler read the answer so we could get them into the record. Because they seemed to think that would put them on record pretty definitely because he made so many in his deposition. Then he would turn to me every little while and say, Don't you think we ought to take this next page? Don't you think we could skip this next thing and go on? I felt like saying, Let me have 10 min. to look at the page carefully and I tell you whether it's a good page or not. But of course I had no such expectation of such a thing until the trial was in progress. The idea originally was to have the two ministers come on after he did an idea of the case was and how it developed and everything and then bring on the witnesses.

But as Fowler went on, the lawyer said, Dr. MacRae I think we ought to put you on right away because of the effect being made upon Judge. The effect being made by their case was this: Here's this great scholar, Dr. Fowler. He has studied at the U. of Chicago, received his Ph.D. there, he's also taken advanced work beyond that at the U. of PA., a great scholar in Chaucer and he teaches about the Bible. What do these ignorant Fundamentalists know about it anyway. Why should we be permitted to teach what real scholarship shows about the Bible? (Why shouldn't we . . . ?) I think we should put you on immediately after him.

Dr. Fowler was nearly through at noon. We saw that he would be finished sometime in the p.m. So I spoke to Dr. Cohen who was there with us from our faculty, a very bright young fellow who had just received his Th.D. from Grace Seminary, he has written a book in answer to a book on ecumenical evangelism which is a very excellent presentation of the cause of Biblical separation. He taught in our Seminary one year. They brought Dr. Cohen out there also and he gave some very valuable witness after I did.