

Unfortunately they did not let him testify very long. The paper said, Big Guns of Fundamentalists Spiked. They were afraid to cross-examine him. Just, No questions. They asked for 10 minutes recess to decide whether ask any questions. No questions. But as our lawyer questioned him, in understanding of the requirements of the situation, I could have been instructed and have even practiced a little in that regard.

They asked about my name, my education, etc. Then they wanted to prove we fundamentalists are all ignoramouses. We have no education. We don't know anything. Nobody believes the way we do that has any standing at all! And he said, Are there any other scholars who hold this view you hold? When you get a question flung at you like that you might be able to think of 30 good men when you have time to think of them. But when it's flung at you like that, I reached down under my Bible and found this paper on which Dr. Cohen had made this little list. Immediately the opposing lawyer jumped up and said, What's that you're reading from there? What's that you have in your hand? I can't say whether he said, He has, or You have. My impression was he said, You have and I said, Oh this is just a list; I thought this question might be asked and I made out a list this k noon. The lawyer turned to me and said, Look here, you're a witness; you're only to answer questions that the lawyer directly asks you. You see the other lawyer had no right to ask me questions now. That was the cross examination.

I was just trying to enter in and be helpful, and I had one or two other experiences before the trial was over that were a bit embarrassing. One of our young ministers said to me afterwards "The Judge was very mean the way he went after you there. there. He said, I didn't like it a bit. Well, I didn't feel that way. I felt it was obvious that I was not familiar with court room decorum for I had never had anything to do with it in my life. I thought it much better for the Judge to make it clear at the start so than have to interfere with me several times, so I did not object to it at all. But I can see how it looked to others.

Then the Judge saw the paper and asked, Oh it's the list you made from memory? I said, Yes, just a helter-skelter list we made at noon; we did not even consult anything in connection with it. Then we went on. But our lawyer asked these questions and brought out our feeling about the higher criticism and what was taught in the books, etc. Then they threw it open to the other question.

You notice I read to you how this lawyer = = = how Dr. Wright says he was on the stand for a whole day. Well, I was on the stand for a whole day too. Out of the 7 days, he and I took two full days. I was the first of the two to be put on. When my turn came for cross examination the other lawyer said, I'd like to get this clear about your education; how where did you study? I don't think he'd been paying good attention before, or maybe he had a man who suggested he ask the question afterwards because that just gave me a chance to tell my education and when it comes to that as far as technical terms of education are concerned, the other side couldn't get anybody who would -- could go beyond what I could present in that direction. I told him the different degrees I had from outstanding Universities in this country, and in Europe at which I had studied, etc. He made me give all that over so actually he helped us. with his insistence on that. But that was one ~~time~~ they tried to discredit me, and then they tried other lines of attack. (line of attack)