

sections. No scholar today feels we can do that with other ancient sources. And Biblical scholars are holding to a theory of 70 yrs. ago which is simply out of date today. Yet alas though it is out of date it is being presented more widely than ever before. Anderson's book and others are being taught in colleges more dogmatically than ever before. A larger number of students are being required to take them than ever before, and as Dr. Gordon of Brandeis brought out there is a feeling of tremendous feeling of almost as if it were a point of orthodoxy among the scholars even in R.C. and Jewish seminaries that you've got to believe this or you are no scholar at all. It is almost like the feeling toward evolution in so many scientific areas. There is no evidence for the theory, there is abundant evidence against it, but it is spreading like a x cloud (?) like the Wolfian hypothesis did in the last century.

May God enable us to study the facts and get them accurately, that the critical scholars cannot grab a sentence here out of context and try to make it look as if what you are presenting is unscholarly. The majority like to do this in most any controversy. May the Lord enable us to gather the facts on these things and make it so clear there can be no question about them.

In an article in Christianity Today, April 9, 1965 by ==first an article there on Dec. 1, 1964 called Paul and the Computer. The statement is made that with the help of a computer the Rev. A.Q. Watton(?) has calculated that of the 13 NT epistles generally ascribed to Paul, only 5 were written by him. Under the title A Computer Challenges the Church, he claims he has proved this in an in a scientific and unimpeachable manner. Now let me read you what Emile Chayee(?) says in an article for April 9, 1965. He says, The next is likely to be some mechanical contrivance. Indeed a certain minister used a computer to show that out of 14 NT epistles currently attributed to Paul five are by a single author and the rest are divided into Whereupon a conference on the computer and the humanities held at Yale University was told that while ==that by this minister's very methods one could prove that James Uslyesses was the work of five authors and that none portrays the artist as a young man(?) All in all six authors could be assigned to the two novels. Prof. Associate Prof. of Linguistics at Yale a computer is merely an instruction following its use; it does only what it is told or programmed to do. It is sad when unscientific theories are popularized and accepted by people who don't know the facts. It is sadder still when Christian people anxious to serve the Lord have their faith twisted and destroyed by being taught as fact theories that have no solid foundation whatever. May God enable us to get some of these facts to those who need to know them. I don't think there is anything that Satan uses more to destroy faith today than this devious theory which is applied to nearly all of the books of Scripture.

Our Father we thank you your Word is yea and Amen . . . and that the important thing is not who wrote it, God wrote it and everything He said is true. Wherever it states something about authorship we can depend upon it, where it does not state it we do not know but we know that God wrote it. Many are being misled by these false theories. Help us to get an understanding of how contrary they are to this unscientific theory being so dogmatically taught. If our Lord tarry, help us in the meantime to present a true witness that may lead many souls to salvation through the Lord Jesus Christ. Amen.