

b. His relation with Constantinople

And we noticed how interesting it is that Greg°, in his determination to maintain the position of the ~~his~~ bishop of Rome, against the bishop of Constan°, who was after all the bishop in what was not the capital of the Roman empire, which nominally ~~controls~~ controlled Europe, and which in many regards was given the credit for this, and sometimes its orders were obeyed in some parts of Europe, more apt to be in Italy than most anywhere else because ~~there~~ their ~~ships~~ ships could come there as well as their land troops, that Greg° did not like Leo, and others - talk about how he was the great universal bishop, the supreme pontiff, the one who was over all of the Chn° church, but he talked about how wicked it was of the ~~his~~ bishop of ^{Const°} Cont° to call himself a supreme bishop - to call himself an ecumenical or universal bishop. He said, "Whosoever calls himself 'universal priest' ~~xxx~~ desires to be called so is a forerunner of anti-Christ. And thus he ~~maintained~~ maintained that there were four great leaders in the empire, the bishop of Rome, the bishop of ^{Const°} ~~Cont/Const~~, the bishop of Antioch and the bishop of Alexandria, and that no one of them had a right to use such terminology about himself. ^{Now since} ~~I think~~ most - all of the popes in the last thousand years have used this sort of terminology, in fact, far stronger terms than than, it's rather interesting to find Greg° denouncing the use of such terms on the part of ~~anybody~~ any bishop. Mr. Webb: ? - ~~From~~ From Schaff's History of the Chn° Ch°, ~~IV~~ Vol. ~~IV~~ IV, - the quotation I just gave he quotes on page 220 - and he has a very ~~int~~ interesting discussion of this - about four or five pages - right ~~at~~ around there, which - any time/~~you're~~ ^{you're} particularly interested in this subject, would be very much worth looking into. But he did ^{rather} make some/strong claims, though at other times he spoke very, very clearly that he considered that the bishop of Alex° - "after/all," he said, ~~Alex~~ ^{all, Alexandria,} ~~had~~ ^{had} been founded by Mark, sent there by Peter, and ~~Peter~~ ^{Peter}, he said, had been for 7 yrs. Bishop of Antioch, and so he said the bishop of Alex, the bishop of Antioch, and the bishop of Rome were one - they stood together, the power was held by the three jointly, and they three, he said, were the leaders of the Chn° church.