right, and that is very important. We had a very fine speaker in our chapel one time

Canon T. G. Hammond, who was a great leader in the evangelical movement in Australia,
and had formerly been a great leader among the Protestants in Ireland, before he went
to Australlia. Canon Hammond remarked to me that with his children, he said that when
the child did something that was very harmful, or very wrong he immediately punished him
for it, and he made a forceful action at the time to impress upon the mind that this was
proportious
wrong and to prevent any bad results from it, but that he then watched for a proportious
wrong and to prevent any bad results from it, but that he then watched for a proportious
cocasion when the emotion would be quite to discuss the matter proposed to present the
reasonable understanding of it, when there would not be so much emotion aroused in
it. Here God dealt forcefully with this situation, but then He proceded to give evidence
to impress upon the people's minds the fact that it was God's will that the tribe of
Levi should be in charge of the religious life and that the family of Aaron should have
the complete control of the priesthood. And so these two various things were done,
these two related things, both by one act to give an evidence that it was God's will
that it be done. So I am calling this, B. Aaron's Rod, ch. 17:1-11.

Here God commanded that a leader of each of the tribes should take a rod and that they should write their names on the prod and that Aaron's name should be written on the rod of Levi. And that these 12 rods should be put in the tabernacle of the congregation before the testimony and God would give evidence as to which of these rods would represent His direct, means of testimony to the people. So they put up the 12 rods with the rod of Levi having the name of Aaron on it. It wasn't the name of Moses. Moses did a great/product. He accomplished a tremendous leadership which was very vital. Butwhen Moses died his leadership was finished. His successor was of another tribe, his descendants did not amount to much. There is even considerable evidence that a grandson of his gifell into serious apostasy in later times. Moses himself did his work but when it came to the top leadership, the hereditary principle was not to be given a start in the community. Because as a rule the hereditary principle is a very uncertain principle for real leadership. Now in Aaron's case, it is different. This is simply the direction of the priesthood and of the worship. And so it's Aaron's name, not Moses' name that is