

of it in one verse, add that vs. then they divide in two and say one document says one thing, and one the other, and then since Kadesh isn't mentioned in the first place at all. they put the wilderness of Paran with one of the documents and assume that Kadesh goes with the other. So you can see that this claim that there is a contradiction there when you look at the facts it is simply non-existent. But you pick up a popular book as propably is done by the woman as she goes to the Lansdale library, and picks up any one of perhaps 5 or 6 books, nice looking books she will find there telling about the Bible and they will refer to how you have two stories of the account of the spies, one of which they say went from the wilderness of Paran, and one says they went from Kadesh. And it sounds very convincing until you get the facts. Now that's the first of the four alleged contradictions.

b. The Area Covered. This was brought out very clearly in what I read you of Geo. Buchannan Gray. He said that according to the P story the spies passed thro from what was subsequently the southern most part of -- to the northern most part of Canaan. And then he says, In JE all is different. The men only go as far as Hebron or Eschol.. But as we noticed as we looked at the account of the spies earlier, it is rather ridiculous to think that the whole twelve spies went together wherever they went. Under the conditions of Canaan at that time with many fortified cities, each of them holding control over a small area near it, and with alliances between many of them and hatred between many of them, people travelling through the land, but constant danger at many points -- a group of 12 going thro would attract attention to itself and probably would get into real serious trouble. But ~~as~~ small groups could probably go here and there without much difficulty. Now/^Asmall GROUP MIGHT HAPPEN TO BE ATTACKED. but it is not so likely. Whereas a large group would draw attention to itself, and one of these cities would ask, Are these perhaps the representatives of another city? And if they found, No they weren't; they were representatives of an entirely different people wanting to conquer the whole land, they certainly would have destroyed them. So that it is only reasonable to think that they went in small groups and one group might well have gone clear up to the north and another group have gone to Hebron perhaps, and a third group