Evidences #3

simply

Old Testament as something that merely showed the ideas of people as these ideas developed from their imagination. And Wellhausen, the originator of the Graf-Wellhausen theory, and his associates, said, "We learn nothing about history from the learn only time alleged of Abraham or of Moses from the Old Testament. We only learn what people thought when the J document was written. We learn only what people thought when the E document was written, or the D document or the P document, hundreds of years after the time of Moses. And of course it was thought at that time, it was thought that 150 years ago, rather, that writing had not been kn2own as eary a the time of course And so it was thought of/this that all of this must have been written down Moses. 12 4 9 1 4 long after, and doubtless areg originated long after. Now if we had time tonight it would be interesting to go into some of the arguments that were advanced for these ten tertele talination of agraled 1.11.11.11 theories that attempted to deny the Scripture. I want, however, tonight just to refer 医丁基二酸二乙基苯二乙酸 计通数形向线 白色 网络美国法学美国美国法院 医白癜 化白素 to one of these arguments about the Pentateuch; one which you will often hear in any reference to it. You will hear the statement made that in the Book of Genesis and the e nate flores divisi reference na other books of the Pentateuch you have a series of parallels: X you have an event PANALAND TREAT AND TREAT occur, and then you have another account of the same event. And you have a whole series this are tool out this ernewarely and of parallels. Well, when you examine these whole series, you find that they simmer te a, santhat man a evola "An se down to a comparatively few, but there are a few events there which occur sounding like no well for arrith as different effents, but which are so similar that the critics say, "Such a thing couldo't WING OF STOLE FOR HE SHOP TO happen twice;" it's perfectly obvious these are two different accounts of the same thing the transfer are use and prove the existence of different documents." For instance, in the trial in which I took part recently out in Seattle, the professor there from the University of Washington said, "Well, look at Genesis 12; it tells the story about Abraham saying that Sarah was And, about difficulties Pharaoh got into as a result, and then his wife, in Egypt. and you find. about how eventually he had to let her go. And then you turn to chapter 26,/or 21 (20) rather, and you find an account of how Abraham did the same thing only this is in the land of the Philistines. He said Sarah was his sister, instead of his wife, and Abimelech got into trouble, and he again had to let her go." Very similar stories. They can point to two or three cases like this, and they make it sound as if there are a lot. But there are a few, but very few. And this professor said, "In the first of these the name Jehovah