

turned to the professor from Harvard and he said, "Can we tell what Isaiah taught from reading Isaiah 13?" "Well," he replied, ~~"I think"~~ "you know I think there are some verses in Isaiah 13 that ~~Isiah-actuall~~ Isaiah actually wrote; I think there are some," he said. You see, he's very conservative; most of them wouldn't say that. "But," he said, "Isaiah 13 tells about the downfall of Babylon; now how could Isaiah say/a thing like that 150 years before it?" And you see, this theory, about the first Isaiah, and the second ~~Isaiah~~, isn't half as simple as they make it sound. Because once you say everything about Babylon has to be 150 years later, you not only say from chapter 40 on is later, but you take fully half the material from 1 to 39, and on exactly the same ground you tear it up. And in the end you have about 40 different people writing anywhere from one verse to a chapter; and all combined by a literary process such as the world has never seen anywhere, and nothing like it the simple idea of simply another man writing on the same scroll. Well, that's the way with these destructive theories of the Bible. It takes more faith to believe them than it takes to believe that God gave us His Word; God spoke, and we can depend upon what it says.

But you know, God knew, from the foundation of the world God knew, that all this unbelief would come in our day; that all this attack upon the Word of God would come in our day. And so God ordained that in these last days, when this movement of the Higher Criticism would spread through the world, that another movement would begin, later, and would follow the movement of the Higher Criticism, and would make it necessary for Professor Anderson to put in his dotted lines; and when you put those in you destroy the very foundation upon which the Wellhausen theory is based.

Now I think ~~xxxx~~ one of the - there are many, many places where we see this most interestingly, but I think one very interesting case relates to still another of the books which is most doubted by deniers of the Word, and that is the Book of Daniel. This professor from Harvard said out ~~there,xxx~~ there that the Book of Daniel was not written until 400 years after the time of Nebuchadnezzar. "Because," he said, "it destroys all events for 400 years in Matthew 11, so it must have been