

And so he began hunting. And he looked through these tablets; and looked day after day, and he came to a tablet with the very name "Belshazzar" on it. So there was a ^{named} man, Belshazzar. - in the latter days of the kingdom of Babylon. Then he went on looking, and he came to a tablet which told how a certain man rented a house for three years, and it said he was renting it as "agent for Belshazzar, the king's son." So this connected Belshazzar up with the royal family. And then on further study he found that there were some tablets in which the oath was taken in the name of Nabonidus and Belshazzar. Now oaths were always taken in either the name of a god, or of a reigning king. So he decided this must prove that Nabonidus made his son Belshazzar co-king with him. Now at this point Professor Doherty (sp?) of Yale University took up the study. And he wrote up his results in a book which appeared in the Yale Oriental Research Series in 1928, entitled, Nabonidus and Belshazzar. And Professor Doherty pointed ~~out~~ out in that book, not only the evidence Pinches had found, but much more he found, which is accepted by all scholars today, ^h Belshazzar was the son of Nabonidus, and in the latter years of Nabonidus' reign he went to an oasis in the Arabian desert named "Tima" "Tema" "Teema", and devoted himself to scholarly pursuits there, and left his son Belshazzar as the real ruler, nominally co-king along with him, but actually in command of the army, and ruling over Babylon. And when the Persians fought against them they picked out Belshazzar naturally, as all nations when they fight/^{another} others, pick out one man to be incarnation of all evil, whom they oppose. And it was Belshazzar they opposed, and Belshazzar was killed in the conquest. We have found a tablet that mentions that fact. It says the king's son was killed. And we have the facts here that are contained in the Book of Daniel, and were completely forgotten for ~~twenty centuries~~ 2500 years, except for their mention then. (in Scripture)

Doherty went through all the ancient literature that has come down to us, and going through it he said, "The Book of Daniel excels anything else that has been preserved," except the actual/^{original} cuneiform tablets, of course, that Doherty studied, "everything else, up to the time of Josephus, 100 A.D., who built his story upon the Book of Daniel." It excels all other literature in its accuracy in that it

now that every galaxy in the universe is flying away from every other galaxy at a