

the Bible as his source, and he says until you get to Josephus at about 100AD you have no record after that time that refers to Belshazzar ~~that~~ - except the book of Daniel, but the cuneiform evidence of the fact of the matter is absolutely clear. Nabonidus the King, Belshazzar the co-king during the ~~act~~ actual reigning. And D... says the Bible stands unique in this period, in all the histories that we have evidence of during that period in preserving the memory of Belshazzar, the name of Belshazzar, ~~up~~ the fact that he was killed in the conquest of the city and ~~the~~ of the fact that there was a dual ruler ship in the kingdom. Because you notice I read you three verses that said he would make him the third ruler of the kingdom. Well, hundreds of people had read this book through the ages and read that he was the third ruler in the kingdom. How many stopped to think, What does that mean? There was one ruler: Nabonidus, the first, Belshazzar the second, and Daniel the third. Well, the ~~eve~~ facts of this historical event ~~was~~ were nearly forgotten until ~~new~~ newly discovered. But There was a ~~hing-hing~~ hint contained here, and there are hints ~~x~~ in the Bible, a very interesting ~~hing-o~~ hint of the foundation of psychiatry, the great truth ~~that~~ that Freud discovered ~~was the~~. The foundation of his work in the Book of Romans, we have clearly suggested, and people read it over and don't notice the precise suggestions and think What may this mean. Well ~~w~~ that's what happened in this case. The third ruler of the kingdom, but D... says this is superior to anything written subsequently up to Josephus in remembering these ~~k~~ three facts. And then D... said that this being the case how can it be that this was not written until 300 years after the time. Is it not more reasonable to think it was written earlier. Well, you know, I