

Mr. Clark was quite right correct in saying that reincarnation as generally taught is tied up with such Hindu teachings as belief in Karma and in Nirvana.

Both of these are clearly ~~unbiblical~~ unbiblical concepts and Chns° need to be warned against them.

Some of his other criticism were much less solid. Thus he criticized the idea of reincarnation as God giving the body its proper place of honor. The idea of asceticism and of considering the Bible(?) body (?) as evil is definitely unbiblical. Yet it is by no means confined to certain Eastern religions. Among Chns°--even among many very earnest Chns°, this is has been a widespread error. Giving the body two great an importance or giving it two small a place are both unchristian errors. The Bible teaches a proper properly balanced attitude on this matter. † A human being is a spirit and continues to exist after the body decays into its constituent elements. The spirit is not tied to the body beyond this life. The Bible teaches that God will provide another body. This wonderful Biblical teaching is called "resurrection." Resurrection and reincarnation are not necessarily antithetical ~~concepts~~ concepts. This is with an aspect of the matter/which Mr. Clark did not deal at all.

In ~~his~~ his attack against the idea of Karma (that one's ~~future~~ life after death is a result of the balance sheet judgment on the good and evil deeds he has performed in this life), his criticism of ~~Nir~~ Nirvana (the idea that personal identity is bad and will eventually end in a complete union with the universe), and of the error of thinking of the body as bad in itself, Mr. Clark presented a great deal of solid positive thinking about Biblical meeting (?) (nc) and what it means (nc) and also regarding the consummation of the ages in the return of /Jesus Christ. I had never heard him in any sermon say anything about the Second Coming. While