Title The student thinks that his study of translating word one to mean word one, and word two to mean word kowy two, and actually when he can translate words one and two into some equivalent form you are just beginning to get a feel for the thought of the lanquage. They say you really don't come to grips with a concept until you can think a little bit in the language. You may not be Moses Maimonides, but to be able to think a little bit in it is kind of a basic thing to getting the concept. And the concepts areunotreasidorput into langther Manguage, would they may be more easily expressed of they are given an oral opportunity. At the least they can be more easily expressed in your dwn mind. In your own head Without that we as you said, and very we be for thinky the draw "tyranny of the experts." You read this man's book and he says / or has a good and grees and you have no defense against anything he asserts without some fundamental understanding.

VAW I think there is one boint that "Weidbt be mboticed. I, he had (Name of institution) (Name of applicant) people say to me, Why should I study Hebrew? I can't expect to make a better translation than these great experts have made. The fact of the matter is that with even a little knowledge of Hebrew you can get precise ideas from a verse, or sentence. You can see there that you have a very difficult time expressing it in English. You see it immediately. That's a small part of the task in translation, to find an equivalent in your language often takes a very great deal of time. So you look at a sentence and there are certain thoughts you very definitely get from it, certain ideas, they are there add you know it. But to put them in yourown language is very difficult. Also when you put them in your own language, as Dr. Dillard said, you add something -- certain things in your language that aren't there. Mask Much of this is obvious with a comparatively small knowledge of Hebrew.

Aldrich How is Christ present in the OT?

AAM Christ is present & from beginning to end in the OT. By Him all things were from everlasting the appleant of the blans of the inphanies will be the optime of the appleant of the phane of the prophets all this coniging were from everlasting the appeared in the brophets that this coniging were from everlasting the appeared in the phane theo phanies of the optime of the optime of the appeared in the theo hand of the prophets of the second of the phane of the phane of the phane of the phane of the optime of the phane of the phane of the phane of the phane of the optime of the phane phane of the phane phane of the phane phane of the phane phane of the phane phane of the phane phane of the phane phane of the ph

Vigme and address of Institution Vame and address of Institution Vame He abbeared to Aprayaw. 2. Name of Applicant

<u>AAM</u> Right. The OT predicted many many things. Many things in the life of Christ are specifically predicted in the OT pJ have just recently written a book called The Gospel of Isaiah, and in this book I took up a portion of Isaiah which tells about the redeeming life and death of **ZMXXX**Christ, which shows its reality and importance for us. It's amazing now much we find in it that as Jesus said, Abraham saw my day and was glad. Peter said that the prophets knew that they were predicting what would happen in relation to us, even though they were often puzzeled as to the full meaning of what they were redicting. A

575 Madison Avenue

Aldrich Some have said that the NTis a kind of spectacles through which you can look at the OT and see things so much more clearly? Is that a fair way to put it or not? COLLA EFFOMENTIE BROCKYM