have spoken, shows that for most Christians it is very important it is very important for progress in Christian life and thought to take inerrancy as a starting point. This is not meant to say that no one can make progress in Christian life or thought without taking a firm stand on inerrancy. Here I think of C.S. Lewis. He was trained under enemies of Christianity as most young people are today. He approached Christianity as an utter unbeliever. Gradually he was brought to see that there is no satisfactory explanation for life apart from Christian teachings. That there is no hope of worthwhile life except as on one is cleansed of his sins through Christ, and that there is no real understanding of the meaning of life or of making profress in it except shrough Christianity.

Lewis tried to keep away from points on which Christians differ and seeing simply seeking the great force of unbelief and athiesm that engulfs the great bulk of the students in most of the universities of the English speaking world he tried to make his life an instrument wherever possible in removing some of this unbelief. Everything that I have read of Lewis-- and I have by no means read everything he wrote-- fits clearly with the great essentials of Christianity I have found much that is expremely useful in answering the attacks of unbelief and in understanding great problems which cannot be problem understood aprat from exit the light of the Bible. In every reference I have come across by him to any Biblical state-ent he has seemed to me to take a thoroughly Christian interpretation.

Lately I have seen some attacks from == upon Lewis in which isolated sentences were quoted which they say prove that he did not believe in inerrancy. I have not checked into the backgou-nd of these statements but I am able to say with certainty that it is entirely possible that in there were certain areas his attitude has seemed to me thoroughly Christian. I am not ready to agree from the isolated statements that I have seen quoted by some that he did not believe in inerrancy or at least that in the latter part of his