(or something like that) and they have a footnote which says "Heb. obscure." It is not the common word for son there. It is the Aramaic wordd which would affect people further away than the Hebrew word which would only affect the Israelites themselves. But in that same translation elsewhere, that same word occurs and there they translate it "son" but in this case they put a footnote which says "Heb. obscure."

To the ungodly the truths of salvation are obscure. But you take the words of Scripture as they stand, and as any honestly made translation will bring them out, anyone can get the great truths of salvation from any copy of the Bible if theycompare Scriptrue with Scripture and don't try to squeeze too much out of one verse. Because communication is a difficult thing. We need to compare Scripture with Scripture. But it is a great miracle. God has given us this Scripture, this Book which contains the truth He wants us to have.

Now it does not contain all the truth of course. John said that if we told all the things which Jesus did, probably all the books in the world could not contain what would need to be written. Of course that doesn't mean all the books today when we have millions of books. It means all the books that were in the world at that time. But that would be a very considerable amount of material then. There is far more truth than is in the Scripture.

Dr. Professor Sagen of Cornell University, a very blatant athiest has said that to write up the knowledge that is contained in one single body cell would take thousands of books. The Scripture gives us the truths that God wants us to have, that He wants us tounderstand.

Scripture is naturally attacked. People are attacking the existence of Gdd. They are attacking the idea that God gave us the Bible. They are attacking the idea that the Bible is inerrant. We find these on every hand. But in these days when the attacks have come, God has brought answers to us. Not all the answers. Naturally that would take many books. But he is bringing them one by one.

I have spent many years studying archaeology, and I have been examining the new evidence that has come to light regarding the statements given in the Bible. One thing that many people have hought could not possibly be so is the figures for the kings of Israel and Judah. These figures when you put them together just don't seem to fit. Some say, Oh well you cna'tan't preserve numbers! Well, numbers are the harest things to preserve. In copying it is very easy to make mistakes in numbers. So they say, These numbers are way off; the history(indistinct) These numbers are way off. Prof. Irwin of the U. of Chicago referred to these numbers and he said, formerly the numbers of the kings of Israel and Judah excited only derision. But recently a study has been made of the methods of chronology that is used. And when you come to think of it some kings in ancient times began their reign when their predecessor died. Others figured their predecessor as rulling to the